



Contributi italiani al XVI Congresso Internazionale degli Slavisti

Belgrado, 20-27 agosto 2018

a cura di
Maria Chiara Ferro, Laura Salmon,
Giorgio Ziffer



BIBLIOTECA DI STUDI SLAVISTICI
— 40 —

DIRETTORE RESPONSABILE
Laura Salmon (*Università di Genova*)

SEGRETERIA DI REDAZIONE
Maria Bidovec (*Università di Udine*)

REDAZIONE
Rosanna Benacchio (*Università di Padova*)
Maria Cristina Bragone (*Università di Pavia*)
Giuseppe Dell'Agata (*Università di Pisa*)
Claudia Olivieri (*Università di Catania*)
Francesca Romoli (*Università di Pisa*)
Laura Rossi (*Università di Milano*)

COMITATO SCIENTIFICO INTERNAZIONALE
Maria Di Salvo (*Università di Milano*)
Alexander Etkind (*European University Institute*)
Lazar Fleishman (*Stanford University*)
Marcello Garzaniti (*Università di Firenze*)
Lucyna Gebert (*Università di Roma "La Sapienza"*)
Harvey Goldblatt (*Yale University*)
Mark Lipoveckij (*University of Colorado-Boulder*)
Jordan Ljukanov (*Bulgarska Akademija na Naukite*)
Roland Marti (*Universität des Saarlandes*)
Michael Moser (*Universität Wien*)
Ivo Pospišil (*Masarykova univerzita*)
Krassimir Stantchev (*Università Roma Tre*)

Associazione Italiana degli Slavisti

**Contributi italiani al XVI
Congresso Internazionale
degli Slavisti**

(Belgrado, 20-27 agosto 2018)

a cura di
Maria Chiara Ferro
Laura Salmon
Giorgio Ziffer

Firenze University Press
2018

Contributi italiani al XVI Congresso Internazionale degli Slavisti : Belgrado, 20-27 agosto 2018 / a cura di Maria Chiara Ferro, Laura Salmon, Giorgio Ziffer. – Firenze : Firenze University Press, 2018.
(Biblioteca di Studi slavistici ; 40)

<http://digital.casalini.it/9788864537238>

ISBN 978-88-6453-723-8 (online)
ISBN 978-88-6453-720-7 (print)

La collana *Biblioteca di Studi Slavistici*, (<<http://www.fupress.com/COLLANE/biblioteca-di-studi-slavistici/47>>), fondata per iniziativa dell'Associazione Italiana degli Slavisti, opera in sinergia con la rivista *Studi Slavistici* (<<http://fupress.com/riviste/studi-slavistici/17>>).

Editing e progetto grafico: Alberto Alberti.

In copertina: Piero Cividalli (Tel Aviv), *Composizione astratta*, olio su tela, collezione privata.

Certificazione scientifica delle Opere

Tutti i volumi pubblicati sono soggetti ad un processo di referaggio esterno di cui sono responsabili il Consiglio editoriale della FUP e i Consigli scientifici delle singole collane. Le opere pubblicate nel catalogo della FUP sono valutate e approvate dal Consiglio editoriale della casa editrice. Per una descrizione più analitica del processo di referaggio si rimanda ai documenti ufficiali pubblicati sul catalogo on-line della casa editrice (www.fupress.com).

Consiglio editoriale Firenze University Press

A. Dolfi (Presidente), M. Boddi, A. Bucelli, R. Casalbuoni, M. Garzaniti, M.C. Grisolia, P. Guarnieri, R. Lanfredini, A. Lenzi, P. Lo Nostro, G. Mari, A. Mariani, P.M. Mariano, S. Marinai, R. Minuti, P. Nanni, G. Nigro, A. Perulli, M.C. Torricelli.

La presente opera è rilasciata nei termini della licenza Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>).

This book is printed on acid-free paper

cc 2018 Firenze University Press
Università degli Studi di Firenze
Firenze University Press
Via Cittadella, 7, 50144 Firenze, Italy
www.fupress.com
Printed in Italy

INDICE

G. Ziffer	Premessa	9
-----------	----------	---

LINGUISTICA

R. Caldarelli	Il protoslavo, l'etnogenesi slava e il contatto linguistico. Problemi e prospettive di ricerca	13
M.C. Ferro	Sl.eccl. <i>разумъ</i> . Studi per un <i>lexicon</i> plurilingue dei termini religiosi e filosofico-teologici	23
F. Romoli	Sl.eccl. <i>мудрость</i> . Studi per un <i>lexicon</i> plurilingue dei termini religiosi e filosofico-teologici	37
Н. Карданова	Верительная грамота Петра Первого в Светлейшую Республику в контексте российско-венецианских дипломатических отношений	51
E. Gherbezza	Sugli italianismi di traipla indiretta in russo	67
S. Del Gaudio	Between Three Languages, Dialects and Forms of Mixed Speech: Dialect and Language Contacts in Ukrainian-Belarusian Transitional Area	79
V. Benigni	Le metafore di intensificazione in russo: la realizzazione linguistica del concetto di COMPLETEZZA	95
P. Cotta Ramusino	“Куда бы тебя ни занесло...”. Connettivi concessivi o fraseologismi sintattici?	107

C. Славкова	Выражение общефактического значения в прошедшем и в будущем времени в русском и болгарском языках	121
P. Lazarević Di Giacomo	I lemmi nel settore dell'onomastica nel <i>Glossarium illyricum</i> (GKS 2071 – 4°) di Ch.F. Temler	139
Р. Бенаккью	Суффиксация как средство образования видовых пар в резьянском диалекте: славянская и иноязычная лексика	153

FILOLOGIA

A. Alberti	Dal lezionario all' <i>aprakos</i> . La versione liturgica dei vangeli greci e la tradizione testuale slava	165
A.M. Bruni	The Old Serbian Version of the <i>Antiochene Recension</i> of Samuel-Kings. Some Preliminary Issues in Textual Criticism	203
M. Scarpa	La recezione nella Russia del XVI secolo dei testi antilitani di Gregorio Palamas e Nilo Cabasilas	215
B. Lomagistro	Approccio scientifico e questioni di metodo nello studio delle scritture cirilliche	225

LETTERATURE

G. Baselica	L'Italia, l'arte e la poesia nel <i>Fra Beato Andželiko</i> di Nikolaj Gumljëv	251
G.E. Imposti	Velimir Chlebnikov: dall'utopia neoslava a quella eurasistica	259
Н. Каприольо	Покоренная природа. Коренное население и эксплуатация земли в советской и постсоветской России в романах <i>Прощание с Матерой</i> Валентина Распутина и <i>Зона затопления</i> Романа Сенчина.	279

I. Marchesini	Literary Constellations. The Case of Armenian Authors Writing in Russian Today	289
Л. Пуцилева	Рецепция ренессансной поэмы <i>Песня о зубре</i> Николая Гусовского в Беларуси и проблемы её перевода	301
G. Siedina	Echi dell’Umanesimo-Rinascimento nell’Ucraina della prima modernità. Note a margine	315
Lj. Banjanin	Una slavista italiana dimenticata. Umberta Griffini, traduttrice, mediatrice e scrittrice	329
M. Mitrović	Lik “jake žene” u srpskoj prozi prve polovine XX veka	343

The Old Serbian Version of the *Antiochene Recension* of Samuel-Kings: Some Preliminary Issues in Textual Criticism

Alessandro Maria Bruni ("Ca' Foscari" University of Venice)

This paper deals with the second Church Slavonic (hereafter abbreviated as *Slav*) version of 1-2 Samuel and 1-2 Kings (1-4 Kingdoms in Septuagint), which was undertaken in the Balkan region (in all probability) in Serbia, no later than in the early fifteenth century¹. It is today preserved in two Serbian manuscripts: one dating back to 1418 (National Research Library of Odessa, Ukraine, n° 6 = *Slav^O*)² and the other 1523-1543 (Moscow, Russian State Library, f. 87 N° 1-1684, ff. 210-373 = *Slav^M*)³. This translation closely replicates a recension of the Septuagint of 1-4 Kgdms that is commonly linked with the name of Lucian of Antioch, a theologian who was martyred in 312 CE and, accordingly, is widely known as the *Antiochene* or the *Lucianic* text (hereafter LXX^L). Research into the Lucianic *Slav* 1-4 Kgdms (hereafter *Slav-LXX^L*) is still in the beginning stages. Consequently, this report is a work-in-progress paper. The few remarks offered here demonstrate how the study of this almost unexplored manuscript legacy is particularly relevant to the textual criticism of the Bible; its inclusion in comparative research may open new avenues of investigation into the textual history of LXX^L.

1. *The LXX^L of Samuel-Kings and the Old Greek text*

The books of 1-2 Samuel and 1-2 Kings (1-4 Kgdms) pose serious challenges for biblical scholars, given the major textual differences between the

¹ According to the colophon of *Slav^O* (ff. 332-334), this version was carried out in 1416 (Popruženko 1894: 1-4). Authorship has been attributed to Constantine of Kostenets (ca. 1380- after 1427) or to his contemporary, the monk Gabriel of Hilandar, who is known for having rendered from Greek the Catena in Job (Thomson 1998: 762-763). In Nikolova's view, the translation was however undertaken in the fourteenth century by a representative member of the Tarnovo Literary School (Nikolova 1995: 62).

² Močul'skij 1890: 5-6; Popruženko 1894; Kopylenko *et al.* 1960: 550; Korol'kova *et al.* 1963.

³ Viktorov 1879: 3-4. This manuscript was discovered by Grigorovič in Ohrid (Grigorovič 1877: 184). Folios ff. 210-373 are thought to have been written by the Hieromonk Vissarion of Debar (See: Nikolova 1995: 62 and 1996: 363-402; Turilov 2004: 545).

existing testimonies. Within this framework, a crucial, yet unsolved, issue lies in the appraisal of LXX^L, a text-type found in only five Byzantine minuscule codices (N° 19, 108, 82, 93, 127; previous *sigla* of the first four: *b*, *o*, *c*, *e*)⁴. This group significantly deviates from the rest of the Greek tradition, but finds parallels in some Latin, Syriac and Armenian sources. The denomination *Antiochene* or *Lucianic* recension is to be understood conventionally: the redaction was shown to be composed of different layers, the earliest of which was named *proto-Lucianic*, since its characterizing readings are to be found in several sources preceding the historical Lucian, namely the Qumran scrolls (Q), Josephus (J), the *Vetus latina* (VT) and the writings of some Church Fathers⁵.

Several scholars assume that LXX^L constituted (or probably constituted) the Old Greek (OG) text of LXX (namely its earliest textual stratum), which was translated from a Hebrew source, differing from the Masoretic text (MT). The analysis of LXX^L is especially relevant in the case of 1 Sam, since it has been suggested that the former derives from the same archetype of 4QSAm^a. In a different opinion, however, LXX^L is not believed to plainly represent the OG. Doubts have been mainly voiced regarding those parts of the translation that, in virtue of a hebraizing revision, are commonly known as the *kaige*-sections. Moreover, the claim has been made that OG readings must be assessed on a case-by-case basis, since neither LXX nor LXX^L directly represent the original translation. Recently, Kreuzer's studies have brought new arguments in support of the identification of LXX^L with the OG, but the question seems to be still open, especially because the process of the preparation of the Göttingen editions of LXX Samuel-Kings is in progress⁶.

2. Overview of past research on Slav-LXX^L

Over more than a century, Slavicists very rarely addressed the question of the textual analysis of *Slav-LXX^L*. The two major contributions on this topic date from the late eighteenth century. In 1894 M.G. Popruženko published a short monograph on *Slav^O*. Along with the edition of excerpts from the biblical text and from the marginal notes, which include readings from "the Three" (Aquila, Symmachus, Theodotion)⁷ and from J, he presented a brief sketch of the grammatical features and of the orthography of the manuscript. Some years later

⁴ Reference critical edition: Fernández Marcos *et al.* 1989-1992.

⁵ Fischer 1951; Spottorno 1995; Tov 1999; Piquer *et al.* 2008; Torijano Morales 2012.

⁶ For reference bibliography see (at least): Barthélémy 1963; Brock 1996; Cross 1964; Fernández Marcos 1994; Hugo 2010 and 2013; Kauhanen 2012; Kreuzer 2015; Rahlf 1911; Tov 1999; Taylor 1992-1993; Ulrich 1978.

⁷ In *Slav-LXX^L* the number of the available readings from "the Three" is consistently higher than assumed by Popruženko (1894: 123-129), at least if looking at 1 Sam in manuscript *Slav^M* (see: Bruni 2016b: 442-443). This new material awaits editing and

S.M. Kul'bakin undertook a comparative textual examination of some passages of 1 Sam (1 Kgdms) that he carried out by taking as a basis selected South and East Slavic sources dating from the fourteenth-sixteenth centuries. According to his conclusions⁸, *Slav^O* would preserve a text closer to the original than *Slav^M*, since in 1 Sam 5:6,9; 6:4,18; 9:8, 23, 27; 10:2; 17:43; 19:4; 24:4 the latter would display textual contamination with the previously existing Slavonic version of the book, dating back to the Old Church Slavonic (Old Bulgarian) period (late ninth-early tenth century)⁹. Moreover, the Russian scholar was the first to establish the reliance of *Slav-LXX^L* on a Lucianic model¹⁰.

In more recent times, a number of other studies have also been produced. On the one hand, several readings from *Slav^O* were included by D. Dunkov in his edition of the Old Church Slavonic (supposedly Glagolitic) version of 1-4 Kgdms¹¹, even though the manuscript is a witness to *Slav-LXX^L* and should therefore not have been used for such a purpose¹². On the other hand, S. Nikolova expressed the opinion that *Slav^O* and *Slav^M* derive from a common, untraced, exemplar of middle Bulgarian and not Serbian origin¹³. Finally, R.V. Bulatova published a paper on the accentual system of *Slav^O*¹⁴.

3. The Crucial Issue: The Nature of the Lucianic Text in *Slav-LXX^L*

As of mid 2017, the *Slav-LXX^L* remains unpublished and still awaits to be studied in detail: nowadays no systematic collation of its two testimonies, *Slav^O* and *Slav^M*, is available. Moreover, this tradition has not yet been investigated in the light of the apparatus of the reference edition of *LXX^L*, whose authors were not aware of the existence of a Slavonic text¹⁵. This last point is not surprising since this secondary tradition is usually not even mentioned in studies dealing with the textual history of Samuel-Kings¹⁶. A rare exception is Tov's

to be thoroughly collated with evidence found in LXX and other secondary sources such as the Armenian version (*Ibidem*).

⁸ Kul'bakin 1901: 23-25, 43.

⁹ Some scholars ascribe this earliest translation to Methodius, while others to Gregory the Presbyter (see: Thomson 1998: 758; Alekseev 1999: 120-122; Bruni 2016b: 437).

¹⁰ Kul'bakin 1901: 23, 44.

¹¹ Dunkov 1995-1996.

¹² *Slav-LXX^L* is a new translation based on a different Greek prototype.

¹³ With the aim of substantiating the hypothesis of a Bulgarian origin of the translation, Nikolova (1995: 62) refers to Lavrov's (1914: 305-306) remarks concerning the use of nasal vowels in *Slav^M*. These characters are, however, to be found in the first part of *Slav^M* only, in which the Old Church Slavonic (Old Bulgarian) Octateuch is preserved (ff. 1-209; see, e.g., ff. 116v-117).

¹⁴ Bulatova 1995.

¹⁵ Fernández Marcos *et al.* 1989-1992.

¹⁶ See above bibliography (footnotes 5 and 6).

1972 (1999) paper on the proto-Lucianic problem in Samuel-Kings, in which reference is expressly made to the Slavonic version. In this contribution, the fundamental question was raised as to whether *Slav-LXX^L* is based on the ancient textual layer of the five Greek testimonies of LXX^L only, or whether, being chronological *post-Lucianic*, it reflects LXX^L as a whole¹⁷. This crucial issue, which was left unanswered by Slavicists¹⁸, currently remains at the very core of research into this tradition¹⁹.

With the aim of clarifying the nature of the Lucianic text in *Slav-LXX^L*, the present writer embarked on a preliminary assessment of the major textual features of the Old Serbian version of Samuel-Kings. At a first stage the circumstances imposed to limit autoptic analysis to *Slav^M* only, due to the temporary inaccessibility of *Slav^O* (in this case available data necessarily derived from scholarly literature)²⁰. Despite these constraints, research has produced encouraging results that envisage new prospects for comparative textual criticism and reveal the importance of this Serbian tradition for the textual history of the LXX^L of these books.

4. *The Internal Division of 3-4 Kgdms in Slav^M*

In 1-2 Kings (3-4 Kgdms) codex *Slav^M* displays distinctive textual features that cannot fail to capture scholars' attention. The text's arrangement in this manuscript only partially corresponds to that of *Slav^O* and of other *Lucianic* witnesses²¹. Textual affinity is in fact limited to the incipit of 3 Kgdms that in all these sources starts at 3 Kgdms 2:12²². The subsequent textual organization is however completely different, since *Slav^M* ends 3 Kgdms at 3 Kgdms-LXX^L 11:41²³ and begins 4 Kgdms at 3 Kgdms-LXX^L 11:42²⁴. Consequently,

¹⁷ Tov 1999: 488.

¹⁸ See: Nikolova 1995; Thomson 1998; Alekseev 1999.

¹⁹ Bruni 2016a: 403 and 2016b: 442.

²⁰ Information on *Slav^O* is based on Popruženko's description (1894: 41-54) and Kul'bakin's remarks (1901).

²¹ See: *Mosqu.Syn.gr.* 31, fol. 318v, as well as other Eastern and indirect sources such as *Vat.Syr.* 162, J and Theodoret.

²² Tov 1999: 480. See also Popruženko's description (1894: 49)

²³ *Slav^M*, fol. 313: и ουτεις σολομωνις εζ οιцы своими и погребоше его въ градѣ дѣдоваѣ ѿца его [καὶ ἐκοιμῆθε Σαλωμὼν μετὰ τῶν πατέρων αὐτοῦ, καὶ ἔθαψαν αὐτὸν ἐν πόλει Δαυὶδ τοῦ πατρὸς αὐτοῦ (Fernández Marcos et al. 1992: 38)].

²⁴ *Slav^M*, fol. 313: бысть же яко оглыша иеровамы. синь наладово. и сиже съи въ египтѣ, яко же бѣжалъ енъ ѿ лица соломонова. и сдѣлъ тамо въ египтѣ, яко оумрет соломони. и исправляеть и приходить въ градъ свои, въ саріра иже въ горѣ ефремовѣ [έγένετο δέ, ώς ἥκουσεν Ἱεροβοῦμιν δὲ Ναβᾶτ, ἔτι δὲ ἐν Αἰγύπτῳ ώς ὅτε ἐφυγεν ἐκ προσώπου Σολομῶντος καὶ ἐκάθισεν ἐν Αἰγύπτῳ, ὅτι τέθνηκε Σολομῶν· καὶ κατευθύνει καὶ ἔρχεται εἰς τὴν πόλιν αὐτοῦ εἰς τὴν Σαρειρὰ τὴν ἐν ὅρει Ἐφραίμ (*Ibidem*)].

according to *Slav^M*, 4 Kgdms consists of 3 Kgdms-LXX^L 11:42-22:54 and 4 Kgdms-LXX^L 1:1-25:30. Such an arrangement of 1-2 Kings (3-4 Kgdms) is not to be found elsewhere.

The analysis of *Slav^M* proves that this unique feature is not due to scribal mistakes, but instead intentionally appears to replicate a lost prototype. In this regard the following observations can be made. On the one hand, before 3 Kgdms-LXX^L 11:42 codex *Slav^M* inserts a heading informing the reader that 4 Kgdms begins at the reign of Rehoboam²⁵. On the other one, a very interesting marginal comment is to be found alongside the translation of 4 Kgdms 1:1 (333v)²⁶. This note tells us that in the original the copyist had before his eyes 4 Kgdms started precisely at this point, while in other testimonies at the reign of Rehoboam²⁷. Whether such an alternative structure was Slavonic or Greek, is unfortunately not specified by the Serbian glossator. Regardless of this, it is however evident that the author of *Slav^M*, or of its archetype, deliberately orientated his work towards a different LXX^L tradition, known today thanks to a single secondary witness.

5. Proto-Lucianic Readings in *Slav^M*

A first text-internal comparative analysis of *Slav^M* with LXX^L has produced the following results. This source includes several readings that belong to the ancient textual layer of the *Antiochene* recension. Accordingly, a positive response to Tov's question²⁸ may now be given: the Serbian tradition represents a new witness not only to LXX^L as a whole, but also to the *proto-Lucianic* textual stratum. With an aim to providing an initial illustration of this crucial textual feature, an edition of selected passages of *Slav^M* is offered below.

I. I Sam 9:3

Slav^M (fol. 220v): и въста саоуъл, и поетъ єдиного ѿ отрочицъ оїда своего съ собою. и понде искали ослаѧта кусова оїда своего.

LXX^L: καὶ ἀνέστη Σαούλ, καὶ παρέλαβεν ἐν τῶν παιδαρίων τοῦ πατρὸς αὐτοῦ μετ' αὐτοῦ, καὶ ἐπορεύθη ζητεῖν τὰς ὄνους Κις τοῦ πατρὸς αὐτοῦ²⁹.

²⁵ *Slav^M*, fol. 313: Ναγελο цртвја четвртадаго, ровамова, сна соломнова. црквишч четвртада:—.

²⁶ *Slav^M*, fol. 333v: и ѿвръже са миавъ въ ибы по вънегда оумрѣти ахадово [καὶ ἡθέτησε Μωὰβ ἐν Ἰσραὴل μετὰ τὸ ἀποθανεῖν Ἀχαάβ (*Ibidem*)].

²⁷ *Slav^M*, fol. 333v: въ изводе сем зде пишет конкуци цртвја ·г· го· наунаает же црквиш ·д· ти охозинио црство: индѣ же ѿ ровамова црство наунаает.

²⁸ Tov 1999: 480.

²⁹ Fernández Marcos *et al.* 1989: p. 23.

II. *I Sam 9:24*

Slav^M (fol. 222): и въздвиже приставникъ бедроу, и еже на ини жрътвнаа, и постави ѹ прѣд самоуиаим. и рече самоуиль и^{съ} слоулу, се есть съѣдѣтелѣство, прѣдоложи прѣд се и таждь. яко въ съѣдѣтелѣство положихъ ти се ѿ людеи, и зреѫчи и таде слоуль съ самоуиаим въ днѣ ши^и.

LXX^L: καὶ ἦρεν οἱ μάγειρος τὴν κωλέαν καὶ τὸ ἐπ’ αὐτῆς, καὶ παρέθηκεν αὐτὴν ἐνώπιον Σαούλ. καὶ εἶπε Σαμουὴλ τῷ Σαούλ Ἰδοὺ μαρτύριον· παράθες αὐτὸν ἐνώπιόν σου καὶ φάγε, ὅτι εἰς μαρτύριον τέθειται [፡ παρατέθηκα] σοι παρὰ τοῦ λαοῦ· ἀπόκνιζε. καὶ ἔφαγε Σαούλ μετὰ Σαμουὴλ ἐν τῇ ἡμέρᾳ ἐκείνῃ³⁰.

III. *I Sam 10:2*

Slav^M (fol. 222): и се ти ӡнаменїе, яко помаза те гъ властѣлина над, дъстгодиим съ-им. да таќоже аци ѿидеши днѣ ѿ мене, обрѣщеши два моужа при гробѣхъ рахилѣхъ, въ прѣдѣлѣхъ вениаминовѣхъ въ сѣлѡмѣ поживша велико [...].

LXX^L: καὶ τοῦτο σοι τὸ σῆμεῖον ὅτι κέχρικέ σε Κύριος εἰς ἄρχοντα ἐπὶ τὴν κληρονομίαν αὐτοῦ· ως ἐὰν ἀπέλθῃς σῆμερον ἀπ’ ἐμοῦ, εὐρήσεις δύο ἄνδρας πρὸς τοῖς τάφοις Ῥαχὴλ ἐν τοῖς ὄροις Βενιαμὶν μεσημβρίας ἀλλομένους μέγαλα [...]³¹.

IV. *I Sam 10:23*

Slav^M (fol. 223v): и тече самоуиль и поеть его ѿоногуд. и ста слоуль посрѣд, людии, и възгнесе се ѿ въсѣхъ людии пауе подобїа и повыше.

LXX^L: καὶ ἔδραμε Σαμουὴλ καὶ ἔλαβεν αὐτὸν ἐκεῖθεν. καὶ κατέστη Σαούλ ἐν μέσῳ τοῦ λαοῦ, καὶ ὑψώθη ὑπὲρ πάντα τὸν λαὸν ὑπερωμίαν καὶ ἐπάνω³².

V. *I Sam 16:14*

Slav^M (fol. 233v): и дхъ гнѣ ѿстогпи ѿ слоула и въладаше его доуխъ лоукаль ѿ гдъ, и давладаше его.

LXX^L: καὶ πνεῦμα Κυρίου ἀπέστη ἀπὸ Σαούλ, καὶ συνεῖχεν αὐτὸν πνεῦμα πονηρὸν παρὰ Κυρίου, καὶ ἔπνιγεν αὐτόν³³.

VI. *I Sam 30:15*

Slav^M (fol. 254): и рече дѣдъ, аци наведеши ме на вшинѣство се. и рече, кални ми се оубо въ гдъ не оумрѣтвти ми, и не прѣдати ме въ роуцѣ гпдїна моего. и наведенъ те на вшинѣство се. и клет се момъ.

LXX^L: καὶ εἶπε πρὸς αὐτὸν Δαυὶδ Εἰ κατάξεις με ἐπὶ τὸ σύστρεμμα τοῦτο; καὶ εἶπεν Ὄμοσον δή μοι κατὰ τοῦ θεοῦ μὴ θανατῶσαι με καὶ μὴ παραδοῦναι

³⁰ *Ibid.*: 25.

³¹ *Ibid.*: 26. Some textual affinity can also be found with the Old Latin translation: VL (L₁₁₅) *In finibus Beniamin in Selom* [въ сѣлѡмѣ] *in bachallat salientem magna stadina* (see: *Ibidem*). On the importance of the VL for the study of the proto-Lucianic text see: Tov 1999: 479 n. 12.

³² Fernández Marcos *et al.* 1989: 28.

³³ *Ibid.*: 47.

με εἰς χεῖρας τοῦ κυρίου μου, καὶ κατάξω σε ἐπὶ τὸ σύστρεμμα τοῦτο. καὶ ὥμοσεν αὐτῷ³⁴.

VII. 2 Sam 13:3

Slav^M (fol. 271): и ам' ми^ноу^г в'шie дроу^гъ, и име^г имоу^г иинадань, си^нь сама^иа брат^а д^редов^а. и иинадань в'шie моу^дрь s^тлав^у.

LXX^L: καὶ τῷ Ἀμνῷ ἐταῖρος, καὶ ὄνομα αὐτῷ Ἰωναθάν, νιὸς Σαμαὰ τοῦ ἀδελφοῦ Δανίδ. καὶ Ἰωναθάν ἦν φρόνιμος σφόδρα³⁵.

VIII. 2 Sam 17:29

Slav^M (fol. 279v-280): и мeдъ, и масло. и wв'цe, и мaв'чныe тeл'ц'в. и принесоше д^редoу, и люд'вьмь его iастi, и из nemoci жeждouциих въ поустыни.

LXX^L: καὶ μέλι καὶ βούτυρον καὶ πρόβατα καὶ γαλαθηνὰ μοσχάρια, καὶ προσήνεγκαν τῷ Δανὶδ καὶ τῷ λαῷ αὐτοῦ ἐσθίειν, ὅτι ἔλεγον τὸν λαὸν πεινᾶν καὶ ἐκλελύσθαι διψήσαντα ἐν τῇ ἑρέμῳ³⁶.

IX. 2 Sam 18:2

Slav^M (fol. 280): и оустрои д^ревъ люд'и трет'е. едино, въ роуц'в ииавли. второе, въ роуц'в авесе брат^а ииавлиа, си^на сарроуина. трет'е въ роуц'в ндиигет' д^реваніна. и рече цръ къ люд'вьмь, исходе исхождю и дзъ съ вами.

LXX^L: καὶ ἐτρίσσευσε Δανὶδ τὸν λαόν, τὸ τρίτον ἐν χειρὶ Ἰωάβ καὶ τὸ τρίτον ἐν χειρὶ Ἀβεσσά, ἀδελφοῦ Ἰωάβ τοῦ Σαρούία, καὶ τὸ τρίτον ἐν χειρὶ Ἡθὶ τοῦ Γεθθαίουν. καὶ εἴπεν ὁ βασιλεὺς πρὸς τὸν λαόν Ἐκπορευόμενος ἐκπορεύσομαι καὶ ἐγὼ μεθ' ὑμῶν³⁷.

X. 1 Kgs 20:20

Slav^M (fol. 328v): и рече ахадавъ илїи. обрѣтели ме враже мон. и рече илїа wбрѣтох. занieже сътвориълъ еси въсогу, еже сътворити лоукаво^е прѣд, гмъ еже прогнѣвати его. тако гліет (*ѓ*ь)

LXX^L: καὶ εἴπεν Ἀχαὰβ πρὸς Ἡλίαν Εἰ εὑρηκάς με, ὁ ἐχθρός μου; καὶ εἴπεν Ἡλίας Εὗρηκα, διότι πέπρασαι μάτην τοῦ ποιῆσαι τὸ πονηρὸν ἐνώπιον Κυρίου τοῦ παροργίσαι αὐτὸν• τάδε λέγει Κύριος³⁸.

XI. 2 Kgs 17:21

Slav^M (359v-360): тъкмо занieже растръжке се ийль ѿ домоу д^редов^а. и въциришие наад, си^но иеровама си^на навадово.

LXX^L: πλὴν ὅτι ἐρράγη ὁ Ἰσραὴλ ἀπὸ τοῦ οἴκου Δανίδ, καὶ ἐβασίλευσαν ἐφ' ἑαυτοὺς τὸν Ἱεροβοάμ υἱὸν Ναβάτ³⁹.

³⁴ *Ibid.*: 86.

³⁵ *Ibid.*: 122.

³⁶ *Ibid.*: 141.

³⁷ *Ibid.*: 141.

³⁸ Fernández Marcos *et al.* 1992: 68.

³⁹ *Ibid.*: 133-134.

6. Conclusions

Research presented in this paper represents a first attempt towards a comprehensive analysis of the textual features of *Slav-LXX^L* against the background of the Greek testimonies of the *Antiochene* recension of Samuel-Kings. Despite being preliminary, the undertaken work indeed looks to be promising.

The most notable implication of the current study is that *Slav^M* was shown to be a new witness to the ancient textual layer of the *Lucianic* recension, namely to the *proto-Lucianic* stratum. Moreover, the analysis has furthermore provided indirect evidence of the existence of a lost edition of LXX^L 1-2 Kings that featured an alternative subdivision of the books, according to which 4Kgdms consisted of 3 Kgdms-LXX^L 11:42-22:54 + 4 Kgdms-LXX^L 1:1-25:30. The simultaneous presence in the Serbian version of text-internal *proto-Lucianic* elements makes it very likely that this arrangement dates back to Late antiquity and not merely to the Middle Ages. Consequently, the hypothesis may be advanced that this *Slav* translation provides scholars with a new window into the textual history of *Antiochene* recension of Samuel-Kings and, ultimately, into the OG text of these books. Accordingly, this Serbian tradition can safely be placed at the very center of the debate surrounding one of the most complex issues facing contemporary biblical scholarship.

Literature

- Alekseev 1999: A.A. Alekseev, *Tekstologija slavjanskoj Biblij*, Sankt-Peterburg 1999 (= Bausteine zur slavischen Philologie und Kulturgeschichte Neue Folge A: Slavistische Forschungen 24).
- Barthélémy 1963: D. Barthélémy, *Les devanciers d'Aquila. Première publication intégrale du texte des fragments du "Dodécaprophéton" trouvés dans le désert de Juda, précédée d'une étude sur les traductions et recensions grecques de la Bible réalisées au premier siècle de notre ère sous l'influence du rabbinat palestinien*, Leiden 1963.
- Brock 1996: S.P. Brock, *The Recensions of the Septuaginta Version of 1 Samuel*, Torino 1996.
- Bruni 2016a: A.M. Bruni, *I.4.10: Old Church Slavonic Translations*, in: A. Lange, E. Tov (eds), *Textual History of the Bible: The Hebrew Bible*, 1A. Overview articles, Leiden 2016, pp. 393-408.
- Bruni 2016b: A.M. Bruni, *3-5.2.7: Old Church Slavonic Translations*, in: A. Lange, E. Tov (eds), *Textual History of the Bible: The Hebrew Bible*, 1B: Pentateuch, Former and Latter prophets, Leiden 2016, pp. 436-445.

- Bulatova 1995: R.V. Bulatova, *K dialektnoj charakteristike rukopisi 1418 g. "Knigi Carstv" na osnove akcentologičeskogo analiza*, in: I. Grickat, P. Ivić, D. Stefanović, G. Babić (ured.), *Proučavanje srednjovekovnih južnoslovenskih rukopisa*, Beograd 1995, pp. 53-70.
- Cross 1964: F.M. Cross, *The History of the Biblical Text in the Light of Discoveries in the Judean Desert*, "Harvard Theological Review", LVII, 1964, pp. 281-299.
- Dunkov 1995-1996: D. Dunkov (hrsg.), *Die Methodbibel*, V-VIII. *Die Bücher der Könige*, Salzburg 1995-1996 (= Die Slawischen Sprachen, 42, 45, 47, 48).
- Fernández Marcos *et al.* 1989: N. Fernández Marcos, J.R. Busto Saiz, *El Texto Antioqueno de la Biblia Griega*, I. *1-2 Samuel*, Madrid 1989 (= Textos y Estudios "Cardenal Cisneros" de la Biblia Políglota Matritense, 50).
- Fernández Marcos *et al.* 1992: N. Fernández Marcos, J.R. Busto Saiz, *El Texto Antioqueno de la Biblia Griega*, II. *1-2 Reyes*, Madrid 1992 (= Textos y Estudios "Cardenal Cisneros" de la Biblia Políglota Matritense, 53).
- Fernández Marcos 1994: N. Fernández Marcos, *Scribes and Translators: Septuagint and Old Latin in the Books of Kings*, Leiden 1994.
- Fischer 1951: B. Fischer, *Lukian-Lesarten in der Vetus Latina der vier Königsbucher*, "Studia Anselmiana", XXVII-XXVIII, 1951, pp. 169-177.
- Grigorovič 1877: V.I. Grigorovič, *Očerk putešestvija po evropejskoj Turcii*, Moskva 1877.
- Hugo 2010: P. Hugo, *Text History of The Books of Samuel: An Assessment of the Recent Research*, in: P. Hugo, A. Schenker (eds), *Archaeology of the Books of Samuel: The Entangling of the Textual and Literary History*, Leiden 2010, pp. 1-22.
- Hugo 2013: P. Hugo, *Die antiochenische Mischung: L zwischen Altem und Neuem in 2 Samuel*, in: S. Kreuzer, M. Sigismund (hrsg.), *Der Antiochenische Text der Septuaginta in seiner Bezeugung und seiner Bedeutung*, Göttingen 2013 (= De Septuaginta Investigationes, 4), pp. 109-132.
- Kauhanen 2012: T. Kauhanen, *The Proto-Lucianic Problem in 1 Samuel*, Göttingen 2012 (= De Septuaginta Investigationes, 3).
- Kopylenko *et al.* 1960: M.M. Kopylenko, M.V. Rapoport, *Slavjano-russkie rukopisi Odesskoj gosudarstvennoj naučnoj biblioteki im. A.M. Gor'kogo*, "Trudy Otdela Drevnerusskoj Literatury", XVI, 1960, pp. 543-553.

- Korol'kova *et al.* 1963: E.G. Korol'kova, Ž.N. Kravčenko, *Slavjanske rukopisi nerusskogo proischoždenija Odesskoj gos. naučnoj b-ki im. A.M. Gor'kogo*, “Izvestija na narodnata biblioteka i bibliotekata na Sofijskija däržaven universitet”, 1963, 3 (9), pp. 29-41.
- Kreuzer 2015: S. Kreuzer, *The Bible in Greek. Translation, Transmission and Theology of the Septuagint*, Atlanta 2015.
- Kul'bakin 1901: S. Kul'bakin, *Otchet Otdeleniju russkogo jazyka i slovesnosti Imperatorskoj Akademii nauk o zanjatijach v knigochraniliščach Moskvy i Peterburga s 25 sentjabrja po 23 dekabrja 1898 g.*, Sankt-Peterburg 1901.
- Lavrov 1914: P.A. Lavrov, *Paleografičeskoe obozrenie kirillovskogo pis'ma*, Petrograd 1914.
- Močul'skij 1890: V.N. Močul'skij, *Opisanie rukopisej V.I. Grigoroviča*, Odessa 1890.
- Nikolova 1995: S. Nikolova, *K istorii teksta knig Carstv v slavjanskoj pis'mennosti*, in: W. Moskovich, S. Schwarzbard, A. Alekseev (eds), *Ioudaikē archaiologia: In Honour of Professor Moshe Altbauer*, Jerusalem 1995 (= Jews and Slavs, 3), pp. 54-68.
- Nikolova 1996: S. Nikolova, *Rākopisi za Visarion Debărski i tekstova tradicija na Starija zavet*, in: *Bălgarskijat XVI v.*, Sofija 1996, pp. 363-402.
- Popruženko 1894: M.G. Popruženko, *Iz istorii literaturnoj dejatel'nosti v Serbii XV veka: Knigi Carstv v sobranii rukopisej Novorossijskogo universiteta*, Odessa 1894.
- Piquer *et al.* 2008: A. Piquer Otero, A. Torijano, J. Trebolle Barrera, *Septuagint Versions, Greek Recensions, and Hebrew Editions. The Text-Critical Evaluation of the Old Latin, Armenian, and Georgian Versions of III-IV Regnorum*, in: H. Ausloos *et al.* (eds), *Translating a Translation: The LXX and Its Modern Translations in the Context of Early Judaism*, Leuven 2008, pp. 251-281.
- Rahlfs 1911: A. Rahlfs, *Lucians Rezension der Königsbücher* (Septuaginta-Studien 3), Göttingen 1911.
- Spottorno 1995: M.V. Spottorno, *Josephus' Text for 1-2 Kings (3-4 Kingdoms)*, in: L. Greenspoon, O. Munnich (eds), *VII Congress of the International Organization for Septuagint and Cognate Studies*, Atlanta 1995, pp. 145-152.
- Taylor 1992-1993: B.A. Taylor, *The Lucianic Manuscripts of 1 Reigns*, I. *Majority Text*; II. *Analysis*, Atlanta 1992-1993 (= Harvard Semitic Monographs 50-51).

- Thomson 1998:
- F.J. Thomson, *The Slavonic Translation of the Old Testament*, in: J. Krašovec (ed.), *The Interpretation of the Bible: The International Symposium in Slovenia*, Sheffield 1998, pp. 605-920.
- Torijano Morales 2012:
- P.A. Torijano Morales, *The Contribution of the Antiochean Text to Text Criticism in Kings: Rahlfs' study of the Lucianic Recension Revisited (1 Kgs 1:3, 36; 40, 41, 45)*, in: P. A. Torijano Morales, A. Piquer Otero (eds), *Textual Criticism and Dead Sea Scrolls Studies in Honour of Julio Trebolle Barrera: Florilegium Complutense*, Leiden-Boston 2012 (= Supplements to the Journal for the Study of Judaism, 158), pp. 325-343.
- Tov 1999:
- E. Tov, *Lucian and Proto-Lucian: Toward a New Solution of the Problem*, in: Id., *The Greek and Hebrew Bible: Collected Essays on the Septuagint*, Leiden-Boston-Köln 1999, pp. 477-488 (or. ed.: “Revue biblique”, LXXIX, 1972, pp. 101-113).
- Turilov 2000:
- A.A. Turilov, *Vissarion*, in: *Pravoslavnaja ènciklopedija*, pod red. Patriarcha Moskovskogo i vseja Rusi Aleksija II, VIII, Moskva 2004, p. 545.
- Ulrich 1978:
- E. Ulrich, *The Qumran Text of Samuel and Josephus*, Missoula 1978.
- Viktorov 1879:
- A.E. Viktorov, *Sobranie rukopisej V.I. Grigoroviča*, Moskva 1879.

Abstracts

Alessandro Maria Bruni

La versione serba antica della recensione antiochena dei libri di Samuele e dei Re: alcune questioni preliminari di critica del testo

Il contributo è dedicato all'antica traduzione slava meridionale dei libri biblici di Samuele e dei Re, preservata in due testimoni serbi dei secoli XV-XVI. Questa versione è un testimone indiretto della cosiddetta recensione antiochena o luciana della *Septuaginta*, pervenutaci in soli cinque codici manoscritti greci di epoca bizantina. Il presente lavoro si configura come un primo tentativo di studio comparato del testo slavo con il suo originale greco. Particolare attenzione è prestata all'individuazione delle cosiddette lezioni protoluciane e all'analisi di alcune caratteristiche testuali del tutto uniche che sono rinvenibili nella tradizione serba.

Александро Мария Бруни

Древнесербский перевод “Антиохийской” редакции книг Царств: предварительные текстологические заметки

Доклад посвящен южнославянскому переводу книг Царств, сохранившемуся в двух сербских рукописях XV-XVI вв. Этот текст восходит к так называемой “Антиохийской” или “Лукиановской” редакции Септуагинты, полностью известной лишь в пяти византийских списках. В работе совершается первая попытка сравнительного изучения славянской версии и греческого оригинала. Особое внимание уделяется выделению “протолукиановских” чтений, а также анализу ряда уникальных текстологических особенностей сербской традиции.

Keywords

Bible; Old Testament; Textual Criticism; Septuaginta; Serbian Manuscripts.