

Humanitarianism: Keywords

Humanitarianism

Keywords

Edited by

Antonio De Lauri



BRILL

LEIDEN | BOSTON



This is an open access title distributed under the terms of the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license, which permits any non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided no alterations are made and the original author(s) and source are credited. Further information and the complete license text can be found at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

The terms of the CC license apply only to the original material. The use of material from other sources (indicated by a reference) such as diagrams, illustrations, photos and text samples may require further permission from the respective copyright holder.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: De Lauri, Antonio, editor.

Title: Humanitarianism : keywords / edited by Antonio De Lauri.

Other titles: Humanitarianism (Brill Academic Publishers)

Description: Leiden ; Boston : Brill, [2020] | Summary: "Humanitarianism:

Keywords is a comprehensive dictionary designed as a compass for navigating the conceptual universe of humanitarianism. It is an intuitive toolkit to map contemporary humanitarianism and to explore its current and future articulations. The dictionary serves a broad readership of practitioners, students, and researchers by providing informed access to the extensive humanitarian vocabulary"-- Provided by publisher.

Identifiers: LCCN 2020034564 (print) | LCCN 2020034565 (ebook) | ISBN 9789004431133 (hardback ; alk. paper) | ISBN 9789004431140 (ebook)

Subjects: LCSH: Humanitarianism--Dictionaries.

Classification: LCC BJ1475.3 .H863 2020 (print) | LCC BJ1475.3 (ebook) | DDC 361.7/4--dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2020034564>

LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2020034565>

Typeface for the Latin, Greek, and Cyrillic scripts: "Brill". See and download: brill.com/brill-typeface.

ISBN 978-90-04-43113-3 (hardback)

ISBN 978-90-04-43114-0 (e-book)

Copyright 2020 by Antonio De Lauri. Published by Koninklijke Brill NV, Leiden, The Netherlands. Koninklijke Brill NV incorporates the imprints Brill, Brill Hes & De Graaf, Brill Nijhoff, Brill Rodopi, Brill Sense, Hotei Publishing, mentis Verlag, Verlag Ferdinand Schöningh and Wilhelm Fink Verlag. Koninklijke Brill NV reserves the right to protect this publication against unauthorized use.

This book is printed on acid-free paper and produced in a sustainable manner.

References

- DARA (2013) *Now or Never: Making Humanitarian Aid More Effective*. DARA.
- Frison, S., Smith, J., Blanchet, K. (2018) Does the Humanitarian Sector Use Evidence-informed Standards? A Review of the 2011 Sphere Indicators for WASH, Food Security and Nutrition and Health Action. *PLOS Current Disasters*, October 30.
- NATF (Needs Assessment Task Force) (2012) *Guidance Note: Humanitarian Indicator Registry*. Inter-Agency Standing Committee.
- Satterthwaite, M.L. (2010) Indicators in Crisis: Rights-Based Humanitarian Indicators in Post-Earthquake Haiti. *Journal of International Law and Politics*, 43: 865–900.
- UNFPA (United Nations Fund for Population Activities) (2010) *Guidelines on Data Issues in Humanitarian Crisis Situations*. www.unfpa.org.

Innocence

Calls for humanitarian interventions are often based on the urgent need to protect “innocent lives” (i.e. civilians under attack, refugees, trafficked victims, and—especially—vulnerable children). In a recent report, Helle Thorning-Schmidt, CEO of Save the Children and former Prime Minister of Denmark, made a passionate plea to stop the suffering of children affected by war: “These children have seen and experienced things no child ever should: their homes burnt, their families killed and their *innocence* stolen” (Save the Children International 2018).

As a moral and ethical concept, innocence refers to the absence of guilt, moral wrongdoing, and responsibility. Either as a sinless, pre-fall, Garden-of-Eden condition in Judeo-Christian theology or as the pre-social “state of nature” in modern Enlightenment philosophy, the notion of innocence that historically shapes humanitarian sensitivity designates an apolitical status of “epistemic and moral purity” (Ticktin 2017: 578), uncorrupted and uncontaminated by power, and best epitomized by children. Addressing children’s suffering through the trope of “stolen innocence,” humanitarianism can thus claim to operate beyond political logics.

Questioning the cross-cultural universality of the concept and its supposed apolitical character, critical social scientists have argued that the humanitarian mobilization of innocence produces profoundly political consequences as it sets oversimplifying dichotomic boundaries between “the innocent” and “the guilty” and establishes ethnocentric hierarchies of moral deservingness between “differently innocent” victims (Fassin 2010). During the 2015 European

migration reception crisis, for instance, children and (pregnant) women were granted priority access to humanitarian protection as archetypical figures of innocence in the West. Some male migrants purposely made themselves seriously injured or sick to qualify as defenseless and innocent (enough) for protection, while boatmen were assigned the position of ruthless, cold-blooded “human traffickers”—though many of them may be family members, friends, or part of migrant communities in a similar situation to the migrants themselves (Ticktin 2015).

Similarly, not all children are considered equally innocent, and the dividing line between innocence and culpability can be blurred, creating contradictions. The massive involvement of children as combatants in conflict zones, from Somalia to Myanmar, from Yemen to Syria, has scandalously contaminated the purity of childhood innocence and generated moral panic. At the end of the civil war in Sierra Leone (1991–2002), major humanitarian organizations lobbied to prevent any child perpetrator below 18 years of age from being prosecuted or punished for war crimes in the country’s United Nations special court. As a result, former child soldiers were inserted in socio-rehabilitation programs, including special schools, vocational training, and child protection structures. This generated a widespread sense of injustice among those survivors who saw the killers of their family members—who were now recategorized as innocent victims—being granted greater access to the limited postwar development funds (Rosen 2007).

Humanitarian organizations’ own “innocence”—namely their neutrality, impartiality, and independence from the “corrupted realm” of politics—is also increasingly challenged by the inescapable necessity to interact, negotiate, and mingle with a plurality of political actors in complex emergencies. Aid agencies involved in peace-keeping operations or post-disaster reconstructions have to cooperate with national governments and military forces, while subcontracting to other non-governmental organizations and local organizations to deliver services. In fact, providing relief to afflicted victims does not occur in a political vacuum (Weiss 2007) and, despite official rhetoric, it often forces humanitarians to act outside the framework of innocence.

Giuseppe Bolotta

References

- Fassin, D. (2010) Inequality of Lives, Hierarchies of Humanity: Moral Commitments and Ethical Dilemmas of Humanitarianism. In: Feldman, I., Ticktin, M. eds. *In the Name of Humanity: The Government of Threat and Care*. Duke University Press.

- Rosen, D. (2007) Child Soldiers, International Humanitarian Law, and the Globalization of Childhood. *American Anthropologist*, 109(2): 296–306.
- Save the Children International (2018) *The War on Children*. www.savethechildren.net.
- Ticktin, M. (2015) *The Problem with Humanitarian Borders. Toward a New Framework of Justice*. Public Seminar. <http://www.publicseminar.org>.
- Ticktin, M. (2017) A World Without Innocence. *American Ethnologist*, 44(4): 577–590.
- Weiss, T.G. (2007) Military-Civilian Humanitarianism: The “Age of Innocence” is Over. *International Peacekeeping*, 2(2): 157–174.

Innovation

In November 2009, the Active Learning Network for Accountability and Performance held an innovation fair introducing the notion of innovation as a key category within the humanitarian system (Betts and Bloom 2014). Since then, innovation has been the subject and focus of attention in the humanitarian policy agenda, within and across organizations. Additionally, special funds and partnerships, so-called “innovation units,” have been developed by several United Nations agencies, non-governmental organizations, governments, the military, and businesses (UNHCR 2015; McDonald et al. 2017). Although the notion itself remains poorly understood in many international debates (Bloom and Betts 2013) and its “meaning and value remain contested” (Betts and Bloom 2014: 5), humanitarian innovation has been embraced as a strategic concern for organizations and the humanitarian field as a whole (Scriven 2016). Broadly put, humanitarian innovation refers to “a means of adaptation and improvement through finding and scaling solutions to problems, in the forms of products, processes or wider business models” (Betts and Bloom 2014: 5) with the aim of transforming the operations, management, methods, and partnerships of organizations (Scriven 2016).

The emerging discussion about the term draws mainly on the traditional understandings and models from management theory (Scriven 2016). While the concept of “novation” first appeared in the 13th century as a legal category signifying imitation, it later developed into the term “innovation,” which endorses “the concept of a new idea being scaled over time” (Godin quoted in Bloom and Betts 2013: 5). In the first studies that treated innovation as an independent subject of analysis, it was correlated with theories of diffusion, the manner by which ideas are adopted by people, and with business management,